1

DETECTING COALESCENCES OF INTERMEDIATE-MASS BLACK HOLES IN GLOBULAR CLUSTERS WITH THE EINSTEIN TELESCOPE

I. MANDEL

NSF Astronomy and Astrophysics Postdoctoral Fellow
Department of Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University
Evanston, IL 60208
ilyamandel@chgk.info

J. R. GAIR

 $Institute\ of\ Astronomy,\ University\ of\ Cambridge \\ Cambridge,\ CB30HA,\ UK$

M. C. MILLER

Department of Astronomy and Center for Theory and Computation, University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742

We discuss the capability of a third-generation ground-based detector such as the Einstein Telescope (ET) to detect mergers of intermediate-mass black holes (IMBHs) that may have formed through runaway stellar collisions in globular clusters. We find that detection rates of ~ 500 events per year are plausible. 1

Keywords: Gravitational Waves; Intermediate-Mass Black Holes; the Einstein Telescope.

The Einstein Telescope (ET), a proposed third-generation ground-based gravitational-wave (GW) detector, will be able to probe GWs in a frequency range reaching down to ~ 1 Hz.² This bandwidth will allow the ET to probe sources with masses of hundreds or a few thousand M_{\odot} which are out of reach of LISA or the current ground-based detectors LIGO, Virgo, and GEO-600.

Globular clusters may host intermediate-mass black holes (IMBHs) with masses in the ~ 100 – $1000~M_{\odot}$ range (see Ref. 3 and references therein). If the stellar binary fraction in a globular cluster is sufficiently high, two or more IMBHs can form.⁴ These IMBHs then sink to the center in a few million years, where they form a binary and merge via three-body interactions with cluster stars followed by gravitational radiation reaction (see^{4,5} for more details). Therefore, the rate of IMBH binary mergers is just the rate at which pairs of IMBHs form in clusters. The rate of detectable coalescences is

$$R \equiv \frac{dN_{\text{event}}}{dt_o} = \int_{M_{\text{tot,min}}}^{M_{\text{tot,max}}} dM_{\text{tot}} \int_0^1 dq \int_0^{z_{\text{max}}(M_{\text{tot}},q)} dz \frac{d^4N_{\text{event}}}{dM_{\text{tot}}dqdt_edV_c} \frac{dt_e}{dt_o} \frac{dV_c}{dz}.$$
(1)

Here $M_{\rm tot}$ is the total mass of the coalescing IMBH-IMBH binary and $q \leq 1$ is the mass ratio between the IMBHs; $z_{\rm max}(M_{\rm tot},q)$ is the maximum redshift to which the ET could detect a merger between two IMBHs of total mass $M_{\rm tot}$ and mass ratio q; $dt_e/dt_o = (1+z)^{-1}$ is the relation between local time and our observed time, and

2

 dV_c/dz is the change of comoving volume with redshift, given by

$$\frac{dV_c}{dz} = 4\pi D_H^3 \left[\Omega_M (1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda \right]^{-1/2} \left\{ \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{\left[\Omega_M (1+z')^3 + \Omega_\Lambda \right]^{1/2}} \right\}^2. \tag{2}$$

We assume a flat universe ($\Omega_k = 0$), and use $\Omega_M = 0.27$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73$, $H_0 = 72 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, and $D_H = c/H_0 \approx 4170 \text{ Mpc}$, so that the luminosity distance can be written as a function of redshift as:⁶

$$D_L(z) = D_H(1+z) \left\{ \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{\left[\Omega_M(1+z')^3 + \Omega_\Lambda\right]^{1/2}} \right\}.$$
 (3)

We make the following assumptions. **1.** IMBH pairs form in a fraction g of all globular clusters. **2.** We neglect the delay between cluster formation and IMBH coalescence. **3.** When an IMBH pair forms in a cluster, its total mass is a fixed fraction of the cluster mass, $M_{\rm tot} = 2 \times 10^{-3} M_{\rm cl}$, consistent with simulations. The mass ratio is uniform in [0, 1]. We restrict our attention to systems with a total mass between $M_{\rm tot,min} = 100 M_{\odot}$ and $M_{\rm tot,max} = 20000 M_{\odot}$. Thus,

$$\frac{d^4 N_{\text{event}}}{dM_{\text{tot}} dq dt_e dV_c} = g \frac{d^3 N_{\text{cl}}}{dM_{\text{cl}} dt_e dV_c} \frac{1}{2 \times 10^{-3}}.$$
 (4)

4. The distribution of cluster masses scales as $(dN_{\rm cl}/dM_{\rm cl}) \propto M_{\rm cl}^{-2}$ independently of redshift. We confine our attention to clusters with masses ranging from $M_{\rm cl,min} = 5 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$ to $M_{\rm cl,max} = 10^7 M_{\odot}$. The total mass formed in all clusters in this mass range at a given redshift is a redshift-independent fraction $g_{\rm cl}$ of the total star formation rate per comoving volume:

$$\frac{d^3 N_{\rm cl}}{dM_{\rm cl} dt_e dV_c} = \frac{g_{\rm cl}}{\ln(M_{\rm cl.max}/M_{\rm cl.min})} \frac{d^2 M_{\rm SF}}{dV_c dt_e} \frac{1}{M_{\rm cl}^2}.$$
 (5)

5. The star formation rate as a function of redshift z rises rapidly with increasing z to $z \sim 2$, after which it remains roughly constant:⁸

$$\frac{d^2 M_{\rm SF}}{dV_c dt_c} = 0.17 \frac{e^{3.4z}}{e^{3.4z} + 22} \frac{\left[\Omega_M (1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda\right]^{1/2}}{(1+z)^{3/2}} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}.$$
 (6)

Rather than computing $z_{\text{max}}(M_{\text{tot}}, q)$ [Eq. 1] for all values of M_{tot} and q, we rely on the following fitting formula for the luminosity-distance range $D_{\text{L,max}}$ as a function of the redshifted total mass $M_z = M_{\text{tot}}(1+z)$, obtained by using the effective-one-body, numerical relativity (EOBNR) gravitational waveforms⁹ to model the inspiral, merger, and ringdown phases of coalescence:

$$D(M_z) = (A \text{ Mpc}) \begin{cases} (M_z/M_{\odot})^{3/5} & \text{if } M_z < M_0 \\ (M_0/M_{\odot})^{11/10} (M_z/M_{\odot})^{-1/2} & \text{if } M_z > M_0 \end{cases},$$
(7)

where A = 500, $M_0 = 600 M_{\odot}$ for q = 1 and A = 281, $M_0 = 450 M_{\odot}$ for q = 0.25. We use $\rho = 8$ as the SNR threshold for a "single ET" configuration. We determine the sky-location and orientation averaged range by dividing the horizon distance by 2.26. ¹⁰ ignoring redshift corrections to this factor.

We can compute $z(D_L)$ by inverting Eq. (3). For a given choice of M_{tot} and q, the maximum detectable redshift $z_{\text{max}}(M_{\text{tot}}, q)$ is then obtained by finding a self-consistent solution of $z(D_{\text{L,max}}(M_{\text{tot}}(1+z_{\text{max}}))) = z_{\text{max}}$.

In order to compute the rate of detectable coalescences, we carry out the integrals over $M_{\rm tot}$ and z in Eq. (1) for two specific values of q. For q=1, we find the total rate to be $R=7.5\times 10^4~g~g_{\rm cl}~{\rm yr}^{-1}$; for q=0.25, it is $R=2.7\times 10^4~g~g_{\rm cl}~{\rm yr}^{-1}$. The range varies smoothly with q; therefore, we estimate that full rate, including the integral over q is

$$R = \frac{2 \times 10^{-3} \ g \ g_{\text{cl}} \ \text{yr}^{-1}}{\ln(M_{\text{tot,max}}/M_{\text{tot,min}})} \int_{M_{\text{tot,min}}}^{M_{\text{tot,max}}} \frac{M_{\odot} dM_{\text{tot}}}{M_{\text{tot}}^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} dq$$

$$\int_{0}^{z_{\text{max}}(M_{\text{tot}},q)} dz \ 0.17 \frac{e^{3.4z}}{e^{3.4z} + 22} \frac{4\pi (D_{H}/\text{Mpc})^{3}}{(1+z)^{5/2}} \times \left\{ \int_{0}^{z} \frac{dz'}{\left[\Omega_{M}(1+z')^{3} + \Omega_{\Lambda}\right]^{1/2}} \right\}^{2}$$

$$\approx 500 \left(\frac{g}{0.1}\right) \left(\frac{g_{\text{cl}}}{0.1}\right) \text{yr}^{-1},$$
(8)

where we arbitrarily chose g = 0.1 and $g_{cl} = 0.1$ as the default scalings.

Mergers between pairs of globular clusters containing IMBHs can increase this rate by up to a factor of $\sim 2.^{11}$ Ref. 1 contains additional details on coalescences involving intermediate-mass black holes as gravitational-wave sources for the ET.

Acknowledgments

IM is supported by the NSF Astronomy and Astrophysics Postdoctoral Fellowship under award AST-0901985 and was partially supported from NASA ATP Grant NNX07AH22G. JG's work is supported by a Royal Society University Research Fellowship. MCM acknowledges NASA ATP grant NNX08AH29G. IM's participation in MG12 was enabled by an NSF travel grant.

References

- 1. J. R. Gair, I. Mandel, M. C. Miller and M. Volonteri, ArXiv e-prints (2009), 0907.5450.
- A. Freise, S. Chelkowski, S. Hild, W. Del Pozzo, A. Perreca and A. Vecchio, Classical and Quantum Gravity 26, 085012 (2009).
- 3. M. C. Miller, ArXiv e-prints (2008), 0812.3028.
- J. M. Fregeau, S. L. Larson, M. C. Miller, R. O'Shaughnessy and F. A. Rasio, Astrophysical Journal Letters 646, L135 (2006).
- P. Amaro-Seoane, J. R. Gair, M. Freitag, M. C. Miller, I. Mandel, C. J. Cutler and S. Babak, Classical and Quantum Gravity 24, 113 (2007).
- 6. D. W. Hogg, ArXiv Astrophysics e-prints (1999), astro-ph/9905116.
- 7. M. A. Gürkan, M. Freitag and F. A. Rasio, Astrophysical Journal 604, 632 (2004).
- 8. C. C. Steidel, K. L. Adelberger, M. Giavalisco, M. Dickinson and M. Pettini, *Astrophysical Journal* **519**, 1 (1999).
- A. Buonanno, Y. Pan, J. G. Baker, J. Centrella, B. J. Kelly, S. T. McWilliams and J. R. van Meter, *Phys. Rev. D* 76, 104049 (2007).
- 10. L. S. Finn and D. F. Chernoff, Phys. Rev. D 47, 2198 (1993).
- 11. P. Amaro-Seoane and L. Santamaria, ArXiv e-prints (2009), 0910.0254.